

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
8				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
0	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-cr-00111-DJC		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE		
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FINDINGS AND ORDER		
4	MIGUEL CAMARGO, JR.,	DATE: August 10, 2023		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta		
16				
17	STIPULATION			
8	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and			
9	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:			
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on August 10, 2023.			
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until			
22	November 2, 2023, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between August 10, 2023, and November 2,			
23	2023, under Local Code T4.			
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, a	and request that the Court find the following:		
25	a) The government has repr	resented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes approximately 4,761 pages of law enforcement reports, search warrant materials,			
27	financial records, and other evidence, including audio and video recordings, as well as the			
,,				

voluminous downloaded contents of two seized cell phones. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review this discovery, conduct b) defense investigation, confer with her client regarding his options for responding to the charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested c) continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 10, 2023 to November 2, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 4, 2023

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT **United States Attorney**

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:23-cr-00111-DJC Document 28 Filed 08/07/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: August 4, 2023	/s/ NOA OREN
2		NOA OREN
		Counsel for Defendant MIGUEL CAMARGO, JR.
3		WIGGEL CANTINGS, M.
4		
5		
6		ORDER
7	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
8	Detail. Approx 4, 2022	/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta
9	_	THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		